

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,034	11/24/2003	Ralph T. Francis	38928.1.35.3.6.1	6500
22859 7	590 03/21/2006	·	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			IZQUIERDO, DAVID A	
	I & BYRON, P.A. IXTH STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 4000	EXTITION RED I		3738	
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402		DATE MAILED: 03/21/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·			9-			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/722,034	FRANCIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David A. Izquierdo	3738				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DARWING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinuity will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 N	ovember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		,			
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal matters, pre	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	:			
Disposition of Claims	*	·				
4) Claim(s) 15-34 is/are pending in the application	· •					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-34</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc		Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			١.			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(a) or (t).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.	•				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receiv	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
	•	·				
·						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/23/04</u> .	6) Other:	,, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				

Application/Control Number: 10/722,034

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed March 23rd, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 18 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: The aforementioned claims depend on claims that have been canceled. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,034 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 15-34 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of U.S. Patent No. 6,652,594. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant application contains each and every limitation of the parent case, the only difference being that the parent case refers to a "major percentage of its available amine groups alkylated" while the instant application states 80%, 90% and 95% of its available amine groups alkylated. The examiner considers this limitation to be within the scope of the prior application.

Conclusion

- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Izquierdo whose telephone number is 571-272-1943.

 The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 am until 4:30 pm.
- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,034

Art Unit: 3738

Page 4

Thoma South
Primary Examiner

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DAI